

MEETING MINUTES

Date:	December 14, 2021
Meeting:	City of Washington Farm Creek Trunk Sewer Project –
	Property Owner - Hamilton Consulting Engineers, Inc City Meeting
Time/Location:	11 a.m. at Washington Fire Department Training Room

Meeting Attendees: Reference attached Sign-In Sheet

- 1. The meeting commenced at 11 a.m. with attendees getting lunch, followed by introduction of the Hamilton Consulting Engineers (HCE) team.
- 2. The agenda (attached) was presented, and the meeting progressed per the agenda.

Howard J. Hamilton, PE, CFM, CPESC (HJH), the HCE Project Manager, gave a brief overview of the project approach per the presentation (attached) followed by a period of Q&A.

Question:	Will interviews with individual property owners be held?
	HJH: No, but an online questionnaire will be made available.
Question:	How do you come up with the service area?
	HJH: Topographic service area providing 8-foot sewer bury at 0.40%.
Question:	Do you consider the flow of the creek in the future- NCRS said "increase of 10X"?
	HJH: Do not know why they would say this – development without stormwater
	detention can increase flows, but that is not allowed.
Question:	For the original sewer, do you know how much cover they had?
	HJH: We would need to review the plans.
Question:	Will you calculate scour?
	HJH: Not part of the scope of this review but would be required if there is a new
	design. Casing pipes are required for any future stream crossing.
Question:	What is the width of a construction easement?
	HJH: It depends on the project – 25 feet each for both a temporary construction
	easement and permanent easement, so around fifty feet total during work, but it
	could be up to one hundred feet if deeper excavation is required.
Question:	How deep is deep?
	HJH: Over 10-15 feet.
Question:	Why are alignments called "Better, best, etc."?
	HJH: We used same terminology as the Pudik's report.

Question:	Will the new sewer be on top the old one?			
	HJH: It depends, we are looking at several options and combination of options			
Question:	Any idea of the elevation for E3 alignment?			
	HJH: We plotted cross sections and had one approx. fifty-foot directional bore.			
Question:	Effects on tree lines?			
	HJH: Trees will be avoided when possible. Trenchless technology is not always a			
	viable option.			
Question:	Is collapse of the pipe possible?			
	HJH: Not close to houses, proper pipe design and construction will minimize			
	collapse potential.			
Question:	Any long-term issue for home foundations?			
	HJH: No – no settlement anticipated.			
Question:	Do you need easements?			
	HJH: It depends on the alignment:			
	• Permanent: 25-30 feet			
	• Temporary construction: additional 25+ feet			
Question:	There are no perfect options, so solutions will be found?			
	HJH: Yes, HCE will recommend solutions to problems identified in each of the			
	design alternatives, which the City will ultimately weigh in choosing their preferred			
	course.			
Question:	Safety hazards for kids playing near construction?			
	HJH: This is a critical consideration for all parties:			
	 Fencing – typically use orange mesh 			
	 Typical to cover trenches at end of day when working near houses 			
	 Contractors are required to minimize hazards 			
Question:	Do you have sewer pipe elevations for whole project area?			
	HJH: No, not all but more than we had to start.			
Question:	Do you anticipate doing any survey work?			
	HJH: Not for this phase, probably in next phase			
Question:	Have you looked at the Route B elevations - B is south of the tracks?			
	HJH: Yes, off the top of my head that route has some 30–40-foot elevations.			
	*A property owner added that there is a 46-foot elevation			
Question:	What are HCE options?			
	HJH: Not ready to share yet, still reviewing and studying.			
Question:	Any other creative solutions such as using the existing sewer or other?			
	HJH: Yes but have to put costs with those options. They will be in the report.			

Question:	Were the diagrams in the power point supplied by HCE?			
	HJH: Yes, mostly.			
	But the ones showing the three options?			
	HJH: Yes, we compiled Strand and Pudik options			
	The good/better/best is HCE recommendation?			
	HJH: No, this is referring back to the Pudik report			
	Please stop using this terminology as it is misleading.			
	HJH: Good point, we will stop.			
Question:	Does this work cover sewer only?			
	HJH: Yes, there is no stormwater for this project. This is an EPA-mandated project,			
	we are the third firm to review it.			
Question:	Will you address erosion?			
	HJH: Yes – maintaining banks and do not destabilize them is required for a typical stream in Illinois.			
Question:	Will you perform a cost analysis?			
	HJH: Yes, cost is a critical factor in review of options. And, cost is not always just			
	Day 1 cost – operation and maintenance are also factors for review.			
Question:	Any non-gravity-flow technology that could be used?			
	HJH: Yes, there are several options, but they may not be appropriate here and yes,			
	those options will be considered in the analysis.			
Question:	Is there a lot of stormwater passing through the sewer?			
	HJH: Yes, we can see this with the flow data.			
Question:	What about the existing sewer?			
	HJH: We have to evaluate options with the City – could leave in place and use it,			
	could abandon it. But, it can't be abandoned in place, it must be removed which has			
	a cost, or filled, though some could be abandoned. Each option has a cost, but access			
	will be needed to the existing trunk line to complete this, even if it is not kept in use.			
Question:	If you have existing easements, why not use that route?			
	HJH:			
	• You cannot just put a new pipe in the existing easement because the waste			
	has to go somewhere while you are building the new one.			
	• Easements are not wide enough			
Question:	What happens to the existing connections to houses?			
	HJH: There are no direct connections to houses on this line – all connections are on			
	the laterals.			
Question:	Where will the walk take place relative to the railroad tracks?			
	HJH: Planning to look at both sides, using existing sewer as baseline.			
Question:	Does L-1 bisect private property?			
	HJH: Yes, all the options do.			

Farm Creek Trunk Sewer: Property Owner – HCE – City Meeting Minutes, 12/14/2021 Page 4

Question:	Route B is mostly RR property – isn't this a low impact on property owners' route? HJH:					
	 Cannot access RR property or adjacent to RR property without permission Agriculture and heavily wooded 					
Question:	Creek crossings needed?					
	HJH: Need three different permits to cross, or you can dig a hole/bore under with manholes required in each side, has to be analyzed on a case-by-case basis.					
Question:	How much access needed in the future?					
	HJH: Need access to manholes.					
Question:	Have you had a chance to drive both sides of the tracks? HJH: Yes.					
Question:	Use of land bisected affected - plantings, future development?					
	НЈН:					
	• No building on easement is typical, though some towns allow it					
	• Crops are encouraged to go back on the sites					
	• Trees are allowed depending on the depth of the sewer and the type of the tree					
Question:	How deep can a sewer be constructed?					
-	HJH: Our job is to evaluate options:					
	• Cannot go too deep because we have to meet STP2					
	• Try to avoid going too deep due to construction and maintenance issues					
Question:	STP2 – reducing service area, how does it look long term? Can STP2 handle					
-	another trunk?					
	HJH: We really have a blank canvas:					
	• Reduce the peak flows					
	 Land-intensive technology at STP2 					
	 Do not want too many lift stations 					
	• STP 2 could also be expanded in the future for a larger service area as needed.					
Question:	What is the deepest you can bore?					
	HJH: We have gone down sixty-two feet for a short stretch, but typically like to be					
	in the 10-foot range.					
Question:	There are some 70-foot elevation changes in some of the options?					
	HJH: The project has to be buildable – that elevation change is an issue.					
Question:	The original report has Cummings Lane showing this depth?					
	HJH: At that depth, standard pipes do not work.					
Question:	Safety must be considered for going down manholes that deep?					
	HJH: Yes, they typically only send cameras and not people down manholes today – no people.					

- Question: Those deep depths do not seem to fit residential areas? HJH: Lift station could be an option.
 - 3. The walk started early, at 12:30 pm instead of 1:30 pm since we were done with lunch and questions.
 - a. Met at STP 2
 - b. The group walked through to STP1, most arriving at 4 pm
 - i. The first sub-group, with HCE Project Manager Howard Hamilton, split to the northerly route
 - ii. The second sub-group, with HCE Project Engineer Jeffrey Snape, walked the southerly route

Certified DBE-WBE-BEP



Page: 1/1

CITY OF WASHINGTON FARM CREEK TRUNK SEWER PROJECT

SIGN-IN RECAP: PROPERTY OWNER – ENGINEER – CITY MEETING & SITE WALK - 12/14/21

ATTENDING:			ATTENDING:		
NAME	LUNCH	SITE WALK	NAME	LUNCH	SITE WALK
BRETT PUDIK	Х	Х	KENNY WEIGAND	Х	Х
DEVIN MOOSE	Х	Х	KRIS HASTEN	Х	Х
CASE PUDIK	Х		JOE ARNOLD	Х	Х
TROY PUDIK	Х	Х	DENNIS CARR	Х	Х
BRIAN ALBRRIGHT	Х		ROSS FULLER	Х	Х
MARK WESTON	Х		KEVIN SCHONE	Х	Х
BRIAN BUTLER	Х	Х	SAM MILLER	Х	Х
MELISSA MONTGOMERY	Х		JIM SNIDER	Х	Х
BRAD MONTGOMERY	Х		JEFF SNAPE	Х	Х
JESSE PLACHER	Х		KRISTEN HAMILTON	Х	
BRIAN RITTENHOUSE	Х	Х	HOWARD HAMILTON	Х	Х
MICHAEL MAXHEIMER	Х				
GARY DEITERS	Х				
BRIAN TIBBS	Х	Х			
RUSS PLATTNER	X				



CITY OF WASHINGTON FARM CREEK TRUNK SEWER PROJECT

AGENDA PROPERTY OWNER – ENGINEER – CITY MEETING AND SITE WALK-THROUGH

Tuesday, December 14, 2021

1. Working Lunch Meeting to gain Property Owner Input

11:00 am – 1:00 pm at the Washington Fire Department Training Room, 200 N. Wilmore Road

- Introductions and settle in with lunch
- Brief project presentation by HCE
- Questions and discussion
- Plan for Site Walk

2. Site Walk of the Project Area per attached Site Map

1:30 – 4:00 pm - meet at Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) 2, 955 Ernest St.

- Walk the Project Area to STP 1, 700 Woodland Trail
- Questions and discussion during the walk
- HCE and the City will have two vehicles parked at STP1 to "ferry" the walkers back to their vehicles at STP2

Kristen Hamilton's Cell # for use on 12/14/21: 815-791-3445



Proposal to Conduct a 3rd Party Alternative Alignment Analysis for the Farm Creek Trunk Sewer City of Washington, Illinois



Hamilton Consulting Engineers, Inc. September 8, 2021

GINEERS, INC

Hamilton Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Kristen R. Hamilton, Chairman/CEO Howard J. Hamilton PE, CFM, CPESC Jeffrey T. Snape PE, LEED-AP QA/QC Project Manager Project Engineer









Report

City of Washington, IL October 2019

-

STRAND



Report Stated FCTS Replacement Project Purpose

- □ IEPA mandate to decommission STP No. 1
- □ Age and condition of the existing sewer system
- Excess flow conditions during wet weather (I&I)
- Operation and maintenance issues along the creek
- □ Future development exceeding current sewer capacity



Report Stated FCTS Replacement Project Goals

- Be accessible for maintenance
- □{Limit} Number, size, and impact of easements required
- □ Protect the new sewer from instability and erosion of Farm Creek
- Achieve durability and reliability for trunk sewer function and operation
- Be respectful of nature and the environment
- □Cost-effective solutions construction and O&M
- Be responsive to and consistent with long-range plans, initiatives, and missions:



□IDNR and IEPA

JEERS.

WILTON

DBE-WBE-BEP

Illinois Forestry and Forest Action Plan

USACE and USEPA

Homeowner Stated Goals

Avoid Farm Creek crossings

WITIO

- Avoid wetland and floodplain areas
- Avoid potential for pollution and contamination of surface water and land
- Avoid destruction of trees and endangered species habitat
- Avoid archaeologically significant areas
- Maximize alignment within open access corridors
 - Ease of access during construction and maintenance
 - □ Faster land recovery rate post-construction







1 inch = 600 feet



GINEERS, INC

LTING

О.



City Boundaries Comprehensive Planning Limits





NEERS, INC

NOTIMAH

ree Sewel Fa isting Trunl EX.





Strand Alignment Alternate B





1 inch = 600 feet



3 1 inch = 600 feet



Technical Components of the Final Report

- a. Environmental Impacts
- b. Cultural Resource Impacts
- c. Landowner Impacts (easement locations)
- d. Accessibility e. Future Service f. Permitting Is g. Licensing, Cr h. Impact On R
 - e. Future Service Area Expansion Opportunities
 - f. Permitting Issues (IDNR, USCOE, IEPA)
 - g. Licensing, Crossing Agreement Requirements
 - h. Impact On Residents of the City (immediate and long-term)
 - i. Opinions of the Residents of the City (if any)
 - j. Preferences of City Staff
 - k. Cost Effectiveness (short-term and long-term costs)
 - I. Constructability

WILTON

- m.Others as found necessary
- n. Meeting Memoranda





Proposal to Conduct a 3rd Party Alternative Alignment Analysis for the Farm Creek Trunk Sewer City of Washington, Illinois

Certified DBE-WBE-BEP



Hamilton Consulting Engineers, Inc. September 8, 2021

3230 Executive Dr. Joliet, IL 60431-8401 - HamiltonConsultingEngineers.com - 815.730.3444 - 815.730.6703



Collect Data 1. Interview City Staff Interview Homeowners Walk the alignment(s) as a group





Collect Data 1.

- **Interview City Staff** 2.
- 3.
- Website, Questionnaire @HCEmail.org Draft Report Report Revisions Public II
- 5.
- 6.
- **Public Hearing** 7.
- 8. Final Draft Report
- **Presentation to Council** 9.

10. Final Report

QUESTIONS?

Kristen R. Hamilton, Chairman/CEO Howard J. Hamilton PE, CFM, CPESC Jeffrey T. Snape PE, LEED-AP QA/QC Project Manager Project Engineer



